DATE:		
INSTITUTION:		
We would like to acknowledge and thank the Washington State Adult Education for providing this document for Idaho's use and adaptation	Program	
Assessment		
1. Goal Setting		
 Criteria There is a procedure to orient students and help them set goals Short and long term goals are identified. A realistic timeline for each goal is established. There is a method to identify NRS follow-up goals appropriately. 	Evidence Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	COMMENT
Monitoring focus		
Student Goal-setting document/s and procedures, student participation in Educational Interviewing or similar goal-setting activity		

2. Testing Area		
Criteria	Evidence	Comments
 Testing is done in accessible and appropriate spaces. (quiet, well-lit, distraction-free, free of architectural and programmatic barriers) 	Yes No	
Monitoring focus		
Visit typical testing area		

3. Trained staff

	1		_
Criteria	Evide	ence	Comments
 Staff who will administer assessment/s have been trained. (TABE/CASAS/BEST) Assessment directions and procedures are followed. Test administrators do not provide "help" (give answers, hints, etc.) to students. Instructors do not discuss test items with students. 	Yes	No	
 Instructors do not discuss test items with students. A list of Assessment trained staff is on file. 	Yes	No	
The local testing schedule is adequate.	Yes	No	
The local assessment training design is acceptable.	Yes	No	
	Yes	No	
Monitoring focus			
Interview Director, Tester and staff who TABE/CASAS/BEST/BEST-Plus test Review Assessment training design Review Assessment training schedule Review Assessment trained staff list			

4. TABE/CASAS/BEST-BESTPlus Appraisal

		_
Criteria	Evidence	Comments
 An assessment Appraisal is administered to determine program eligibility and select appropriate pre-test. 	Yes No	
The assessment Appraisal is never used in lieu of a pre-test.	Yes No	
Monitoring focus		
Interview Director, Tester/s and staff who conduct assessment Verify that the assessment Appraisal score is on file		

5. Assessment Pre-Testing		
Criteria	Evidence	Comments
 TABE/CASAS/BEST- BESTPlus tests are given when students enter the program quarter. 	Yes No	
Monitoring focus		
Interview Director, Tester and staff who conduct assessment testing		

6. Assessment Post-test

Criteria	Evidence	Comments
Students are not post-tested in areas they have not studied.	Yes No	
 All post-testing follows the standard timeframes and guidelines posted for each assessment in the Assessments Policy Guidelines. 	Yes No	
 If this is a low-intensity program, students are tested at least every three to five months. 	Yes No	
monaro.	Yes No	
Early leavers are tested whenever possible.	Yes No	
 Attendance records are consistent with NRS requirements 	Yes No	
 attendance is recorded for individual students hours are rounded correctly only legitimate activities are counted 	Yes No Yes No	
Monitoring focus		
Interview Director, Trainer/s and staff who conduct assessment Review attendance records		

7. Students with Disabilities

Criteria	Evide	ence	Comment
Students with disabilities are not exempted from testing (except students who are blind or have low-vision).	Yes	No	
Test modification written request forms are on file.	Yes	No	
Students who request test modifications are provided with test modifications as stated in the testing policy guidelines.	Yes	No	
Monitoring focus			
Interview Director, Tester/s and staff who conduct assessment			

8. Test Secu	urity			

Criteria	Evide	nce	Comments
 Program has a system in place for safeguarding, distributing, and collecting all testing materials. 	Yes	No	
 On main campus, materials are maintained in a locked cabinet or locked room with 	Yes	No	
limited access.	Yes	No	
 Completed answer sheets are secured prior to transport to the main site Testing materials are not duplicated. 	Yes	No	
There is an inventory of test materials.	Yes	No	
 Appraisal answer sheets have been removed from files and destroyed (regulation of CASAS but good policy for all assessment) 	Yes	No	
Monitoring focus			
Interview Director, tester/s and staff who conduct assessment Visit testing materials security area			
Observe locking file cabinet Observe answer sheet storage Review Test Inventory			

9. Adult Secondary Programs (GED, HSC)

	T	
Criteria	Evidence	Comments
Post-tests are used to determine level completion for federal accountability purposes.	Yes No	
GED and HSC specific assessments are not used to determine level completion for federal accountability purposes.	Yes No	
Monitoring focus		
Interview Director, coordinators and ASE staff		

IMAS and Data Collection		
10. Overview of Data Collection System		
Criteria	Evidence	Comments
 There is a clear written or graphic description of the data collection process for each site. 	Yes No Yes No	
Individual staff roles in data collection are stated. Data collection reappossibilities are included in job descriptions.	Yes No	
Data collection responsibilities are included in job descriptions.		
Monitoring focus Interview Director, coordinators and data collection staff Review Data Collection flowchart (including remote sites, if any)		
Review written identification of staff roles Review job descriptions		

11. Data Collection Forms		
Criteria	Evidence	Comments
The standard data intake collection forms are used	Yes No	
Monitoring focus		
Interview Director, coordinators and data collection staff Review data collection form		

	Criteria here is a data checking/data quality system in place. There are specific written staff assignments for data entry data checking and quality control		ence	Comments
			No	
0	Data entry occurs daily and /or weekly and is posted bi-weekly according to the state schedule.	Yes	No	
0	There is an organized method to identify and correct errors, find missing data, anomalous data, or data that doesn't make sense.	Yes	No	
0	Data checkers have authority to work with other staff for clarification, to correct problems and resolve errors.	Yes	No	
		Yes	No	
0	There is a regular, prescribed data-checking schedule with clear deadlines.	Yes	No	
0	Time is provided for staff to focus on missing, inaccurate, anomalous or other suspect data.			
	Monitoring focus			
	iew Director, coordinators and data entry, collection and checking staff w data checking method			

The elements that were out of tolerance (those marked "No") were discussed with me. I was told that an action plan will be required to explain how the out-of-tolerance items will be corrected. I was told that the action plan will be due two weeks from the receipt of the monitoring visit report e-mail, and that the corrective actions need to be completed within four weeks of the receipt of the action plan e-mail (six weeks from the program's receipt of the monitoring visit report e-mail). I also understand that a second review visit may be scheduled to follow-up on corrected measures.					
Program Director:					
Cheryl Engel,					
Office of Adult Basic Education					